



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,452	12/18/2001	Donald Rauscher	1240-PA03	6199
27189 75	90 02/10/2005		EXAM	INER
PROCOPIO, O	CORY, HARGREAVE	PATEL, TAJASH D		
530 B STREET SUITE 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Commence	10/024,452	RAUSCHER, DONALD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>	Tejash D Patel	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTy statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 June 2004.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-21 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. iments have been received in Ape e priority documents have been i Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)		ummary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/024,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 3. Claims 2-5, 7-8, 10-12, 14-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Getek (US 6,406,418). Getek discloses a magnetic belt including a belt body having first and second ends with the first end being formed into a loop, that receives a buckle with a tab portion therethrough as shown in figure 2. Further, the second end is formed with array of through holes for receiving the tab portion of the buckle when fastened as shown in

Application/Control Number: 10/024,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

figure 1. Additionally, the belt includes an array of magnetic elements (12) having inherent diameter which is separated by a distant that inherently has magnetic north and magnetic south poles being made of neodymium magnets, col. 2, lines 42-43 and as shown in figure 2. Also, the magnetic element exhibits a magnetic field being at least 35000 gauss around the user, col. 2, lines 50-51 that is substantially continuous along at least a portion of the belt/lumbar region as shown in figure 1. Furthermore, the belt body is covered by an outer leather cover (20), col. 2, lines 20-27 while a foam insert (26) is positioned within the body thereof having an array of apertures which received the magnetic elements so that the are seen when the belt is worn, col. 2, line 27-61 and as shown in figure 3. Additionally, it is inherent that the north pole of the magnetic elements faces the user in order to produce the magnetic field.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 9, 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Getek. With regard to claims 6 and 9, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art the magnetic elements can be separated by any desired distance as required for a particular application thereof. Furthermore, with regard to claims 13 and 20, it would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3765

that the magnetic field as discloses on col. 2, lines 47-54 is substantially uni-polar when the belt is worn about the body.

### Response to Amendment

6. The amendment and arguments filed on June 8, 2004 has been considered. In view of such a newly discovered prior art reference has prompted this action to be made FINAL.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/024,452

Art Unit: 3765

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

February 4, 2005

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER